# EAST GIPPSLAND FOREST MANAGEMENT PLAN AMENDMENT

Amendments subsequent to the East Gippsland RFA, 1997

Department of Natural Resources and Environment

August 1997

© Crown (Government of Victoria) 1997

Published by the Department of Natural Resources and Environment East Melbourne

This publication is copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for private study, research, criticism or review as permitted under the *Copyright Act* 1968, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic photocopying or otherwise, without prior permission of the copyright owner.

Victoria. Department of Natural Resources and Environment East Gippsland Forest Management Plan Amendment

ISBN 0730667324

### General Disclaimer

This publication may be of assistance to you but the State of Victoria and its employees do not guarantee that the publication is without flaw of any kind or is wholly appropriate for your particular purposes and therefore disclaims all liability for any error, loss or other consequence which may arise from you relying on any information in this publication.

# **FOREWORD**

In February 1997, the Prime Minister of Australia and the Premier of Victoria signed the East Gippsland Regional Forest Agreement (the Agreement), the first of its kind in Australia. The Agreement establishes a framework for management in East Gippsland's forests for the next twenty years.

This document fulfils Clause 51 of the Agreement in which Victoria agreed to publish an amendment to the East Gippsland Forest Management Plan (1996) describing changes to management zones and protection levels, and other commitments arising from the agreement.

Richard Rawson

Executive Director

Forests Service

- special groups of organisms, for example species with complex habitat requirements, or migratory or mobile species;
- areas of high species diversity, natural refugia for flora and fauna, and centres of endemism; and
- those species whose distributions and habitat requirements are not well correlated with any particular forest ecosystem.
- 6. Reserves should be large enough to sustain the viability, quality and integrity of populations.
- 7. To ensure representativeness, the reserve system should, as far as possible, sample the full range of biological variation within each forest ecosystem, by sampling the range of environmental variation typical of its geographic range and sampling its range of successional stages.
- 8. In fragmented landscapes, remnants that contribute to sampling the full range of biodiversity are vital parts of a forest reserve system. The areas should be identified and protected as part of the development of integrated regional conservation strategies.

The Plan includes Conservation Guidelines which establish standards for the protection of different forest values such as old-growth forest, vegetation communities and threatened species. In order to meet these guidelines, the Plan took account of existing national parks and other conservation reserves and, where necessary, set aside complementary areas in the Special Protection Zone in State forest.

The Conservation Guidelines in the Plan and the JANIS criteria are similar in many respects. Consequently, prior to the Agreement, the Plan, in conjunction with the existing reserve system already met or exceeded most JANIS criteria. However some amendments to the forest management zones were negotiated during development of the Agreement.

### Protection of Forest Ecosystems

The JANIS criteria require that 15% of the pre-1750 distribution of each forest ecosystem, at least 60% of vulnerable forest ecosystems, and all viable stands of rare or endangered forest ecosystems be included in the CAR reserve system. The criteria include provisions for flexibility to take account of regional circumstances. They also state that, as far as possible and practicable, the proportion of protected areas in Dedicated Reserves should be maximised.

The 44 Ecological Vegetation Classes (EVCs) defined by Woodgate *et al* (1994) were treated as 'ecosystems' for the purpose of these criteria. The former extent of these classes on land that is now cleared land was extrapolated from land system maps, vegetation remnants and expert opinion. Two EVCs occurring on public land required enhanced protection to satisfy the criteria.

Foothill Box-Ironbark Forest - While 81% of the pre-1750 extent of this EVC was protected, the entire area was in the Special Protection Zone in the Martins Creek area. The Agreement requires that most of this area, with some minor boundary modifications, become the Martins Creek Flora and Fauna Reserve to increase the proportion of this EVC in the dedicated component of the CAR reserve system.

# INTRODUCTION

The amendment includes:

- an explanation of the role of the National Reserve Criteria in achieving the Comprehensive, Adequate and Representative reserve system in East Gippsland.
- a table of key values in forest management zones created or changed by the Agreement (Table 1).
- updated tables showing the representation of old-growth forest, Ecological Vegetation Classes and National Estate values in different land categories (Tables 2, 3 and 4).
- amended guidelines for the review of management strategies and forest management zones.
- a map illustrating revised conservation reserves and forest management zones (Map 1)

The East Gippsland Forest Management Plan (CNR 1996) makes provision for ongoing amendments in response to new information. Consequently, the Department will continue to update maps and issue revised components of the plan from time to time. This document deals only with those changes arising from the Agreement.

### National Reserve Criteria

The National Forest Policy Statement (Commonwealth of Australia 1992) established an approach to resolving the competing demands of conservation and industry on Australia's forests. This includes a requirement to establish a Comprehensive, Adequate and Representative (CAR) reserve system as a prerequisite to the signing of a Regional Forest Agreement. Accordingly, the Commonwealth and states jointly developed a set of criteria (the JANIS¹ criteria) to guide the establishment of a CAR forest reserve system in each RFA region. The criteria are summarised as follows:

- 1. As a general criterion, 15% of the pre-1750 distribution of each forest ecosystem should be protected in the CAR reserve system with flexibility considerations applied according to regional circumstances, and recognising that as far as possible and practicable, the proportion of dedicated reserves should be maximised.
- 2. Where forest ecosystems are recognised as vulnerable, (e.g. approaching a reduction in areal extent of 70% within a bio-regional context and/or subject to continuing threatening processes), then at least 60% of their remaining extent should be reserved. These ecosystems include those where threatening processes have caused significant changes in species composition, loss or significant decline in species that play a major role within the ecosystem, or significant alteration to ecosystem processes.
- 3. All remaining occurrences of rare and endangered forest ecosystems should be reserved or protected by other means as far as is practicable.
- 4. Reserved areas should be replicated across the geographic range of the forest ecosystem to decrease the likelihood that chance events such as wildfire or disease will cause the forest ecosystem to decline.
- 5. The reserve system should seek to maximise the area of high quality habitat for all known elements of biodiversity wherever practicable, but with particular reference to:
  - the special needs of rare, vulnerable or endangered species;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nationally Agreed Criteria for the Establishment of a Comprehensive, Adequate and Representative Reserve System for Forests in Australia. A report by the Joint Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council/Ministerial Council on Forestry, Fisheries and Aquaculture National Forest Policy Statement Implementation Sub-committee. September 1996.

# Guidelines for reviewing management strategies and zones

The guidelines for reviewing management strategies and zones originally included in the Plan are amended to read as follows:

# GUIDELINES FOR REVIEWING MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES AND ZONES

Management guidelines in this Plan will be reviewed under the following circumstances:

- When research information on key species becomes available (for example, on completion of the current Long-footed Potoroo research, or population viability analyses for other threatened species).
- If new species are identified that are considered threatened.
- As required by new legislation, policies or Action Statements.

Management zone boundaries may require review if:

- Changes to management strategies for certain species or values mean that the zoning system is more or less than adequate for those values.
- Field inspections or better mapping indicate that minor amendments are required to create practical management boundaries.
- A zone is found not to contain the values for which it was identified; amendments may be required to ensure that conservation targets are met.
- New records are listed for species whose conservation targets have not been met.
- New records of some species warrant changes to zones to consolidate an area of good quality habitat in exchange for an area of poorer-quality habitat.
- Existing boundaries are found to place unreasonable restrictions on the practical access to areas for forest management or for infrastructure development (easements etc).

Proposed zone amendments will be assessed according to whether they:

- Ensure the CAR Reserve System continues to comply with the JANIS Reserve Criteria.
- Adequately conserve the values identified in the Comprehensive Regional Assessment data sets.
- Consider the maintenance of National Estate protection.
- Conserve the values highlighted in the zoning scheme register of this Plan.
- Ensure there is no net deterioration in the level of protection of identified values in the SPZ.
- Ensure there is no net deterioration in timber production capacity.
- Maintain a well-distributed, inter-connected network of protected areas.
- Minimise practical problems for timber harvesting or access in the General Management Zone.
- Make the best use of areas that are unavailable for timber harvesting due to other considerations such as slope, access and site quality.
- Avoid conflict with strategic burning corridors.

<u>Limestone Grassy Woodland</u> - This EVC has been highly depleted by clearing for agriculture. Only 9% of its pre-1750 extent was protected. All remaining areas on public land were identified and placed in the Special Protection Zone bringing the total level of protection to 12% of its pre-1750 extent. The balance of this EVC is on private land. Conservation of these remnant areas is dependent on detailed site assessment and sensitive management. Consequently, the Agreement identifies this EVC as a high priority for preparation of a Flora and Fauna Guarantee Action Statement.

### Protection of Old Growth Forest

The JANIS criteria require protection of at least 60% of old-growth forest within each EVC. For most EVCs, this criterion was exceeded by the existing conservation reserve system comprising dedicated reserves and the Special Protection Zone established by the Plan. During development of the Agreement there was discussion about the contribution of narrow linear areas (stream buffers) towards the 60% target. In the case of Wet and Damp Forest the contribution of such areas is two and four percent respectively. The Agreement identifies additional areas of old-growth forest in these EVCs for protection so that the 60% target can be achieved without the contribution of these linear components. The new areas include Ellery Creek catchment (to be added to Errinundra National Park), and various additions to the Special Protection Zone in State forest (Table 1 and Map 1) including a large area in Yalmy forest block

The criteria also require protection of all viable old-growth forest stands within ecosystems where old-growth forest is rare or depleted. Lowland Forest, Herb-Rich Forest, Foothill Box Ironbark Forest and Montane Dry Woodland, fall into this category and additional areas had to be examined for possible protection. While some were found to be too small or fragmented to be viable, and others not to be old growth forest when field checked, numerous changes to forest management zones were made to meet the criteria with respect to these EVCs(Table 1). Most notable among these is the large new Special Protection Zone created in the Betka River Catchment for protection of additional Lowland Forest old-growth.

Other JANIS criteria relating to the size, distribution, representativeness and replication of protected areas were also addressed by the Plan and accredited by the Agreement.

## Return of Some Areas to Timber Production

The addition of new areas to the Special Protection Zones to meet the JANIS criteria meant that some other areas within the existing Special Protection or Special Management zones were no longer necessary to meet the requirements of the Plan or the criteria. Such areas were re-zoned to be available for timber production (Table 1).

# **REFERENCES**

Commonwealth of Australia (1992). National forest Policy Statement - A New Focus for Australia's Forests. Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra.

JANIS (1996). Nationally Agreed Criteria for the Establishment of a Comprehensive, Adequate and Representative Reserve System for Forests in Australia. A report by the Joint Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council/Ministerial Council on Forestry, Fisheries and Aquaculture National Forest Policy Statement Implementation Sub-committee.

Commonwealth of Australia (1997). East Gippsland Regional Forest Agreement.

Department of Natural Resources and Environment (1996). Forest Management Plan - East Gippsland Forest Management Area.

Woodgate P.W., W.D. Peel, K.T. Ritman, J.E. Coram, A. Brady, A.J. Rule and J.C.G. Banks, (1994). A Study of the old growth forests of East Gippsland. Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, Victoria.

Zones
anagement 2
d Forest M
East Gippsland
East (
Amendments to
<del>.</del>

Part	_	1	1	<u>~</u> T	_	ല		_	10	o I	7	_	Ιø		Т	_	_	т	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-1.	o I	1~	<b>₩</b> 1	ic.T	_	_	_	_	_		I	_	,	,		_
Part	(ha)												86																-	733	334	806						$  \  $	8				74	
Part	alues	Endemic	N	585	904	8	8			222	250					I	T			205		94	Ţ	0				T			П		7 20	286	207	T		П	1	18	3 8	2 2		619
Fig. 2012   Committed Co	state v	E Fauna Refuge	IN	8	4	99		7	2	7 2	24			246		7	55	7			9	46	7	2	T		1	†	P	56	11	98	†	35	3	18	18	₽	1	†	- 4	8	220	30
Part	onal E	Flora Refuge	N	E	က	46		1	1	T	T	T		286			1	73				1	1	$\dagger$	104	Н	1	$\dagger$	t	129		+	T	$\dagger$	T	t	21	9	7	†	†	t	304	
Committee   Comm	Natio	ssəməbli	M	912	318	740	1	1	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	t	ŀ		1	1	†	+	t						$\dagger$	-		+	$\dagger$	$\dagger$		1	1	$\dagger$	t	$\dagger$	-	-	H	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	-		+
The control of the		S Tablelands		1	1	1	1	$\dagger$	T	$\dagger$	T				1	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	t					$\dagger$	$\dagger$			1	Ť	$\dagger$	-	1	1	†	+	T			+	+	$\dagger$	$\frac{1}{1}$	r		
The continue of the continue	es (ha)	Ondisne Dry		1	1	1	1	Ť	1	T	T			1	1	1	$\dagger$				29	128	2 2	9 6	121	36	1	$\dagger$	I		1	$\dagger$	+	1	l		H	+	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	1			-
The control of the	tegorie	S Wet Forest	1	1	1	1	$\dagger$	Ť	T	t	T	r		236	1	$\dagger$	Ť	22	175		9	46	7 4	2		$\forall$	+	$\dagger$	t		+	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	$\mid$	l	12	18	+	+	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\dagger$	h	220	1
The control of the	est ca	Damp Forest	٥	04	1	87	3	7	52	70	25			122	1	2 2	182	H	27	2	1	+	4	+	45	16	+	+			+	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	H	ŀ	17	223	31	1	$\dagger$	+		92	+
The continue of the continue	wth for	onbark onbark		1	†	†	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	1	T			1	1	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	T			1	1	1	$\dagger$	-			$\dagger$	4			1	$\dagger$	t	l	H			$\dagger$	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	$\frac{1}{1}$	Н		+
The continue of the continue	ld gro	doin-droh 20 test		1	†	†	1	$\dagger$	$\dagger$				1	1	t	$\dagger$				59	1	†	$\dagger$	l		1	1	T	·	H	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	t			Н		+	$\dagger$	t	ł	Н		+
Convince	0		7	199	200	8 2	5 6	34	134	20	79	28	9	c	0 4	3 8		39		4	†	$\dagger$	$\dagger$		Н	†	$\dagger$	t	H		$\dagger$	$\dagger$		19			-	+	$\dagger$	╁	45	H	-	7
Contract Care   Contract Car				T	T	T	$\dagger$	T	T	ľ			1	1	$\dagger$	T	T			1	1	$\dagger$			H	+	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	Н	1	$\dagger$	Ť	$\mid$		-		1	+	$\dagger$	t		H		58
Cool Temperate   Cool			4	1	$\dagger$	†	†	t	T		7	H	1	S	$\dagger$	T	-	9	1	†	+	t	t		H	†	$\dagger$	t	9	+	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	l	H		7	1	=	╁	$\mid$	$\vdash$	H	1	†
Hearbornett   Key Value   Hearbornett   Key Value   Hearbornett   Hear				T	1	t	t		T				†	t	$\dagger$	$\dagger$		7	1	1	t	$\dagger$	$\dagger$		Н	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	T		+	$\dagger$	-	$\vdash$		H	$\parallel$	+	$\dagger$	-	ŀ		H	+	$\dagger$
Contract C		derb-rich Forest	+	T	T	T	T	T		10			†	t	t		70			88	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	$\mid$			80	9 6			+	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	-	$\vdash$		1	+	t	t	21			$\dagger$	$\dagger$
Compared Corresponded Compared Corresponded Corresponde				T	T	T	$\dagger$	T	T			1	†	t	T				1	- 18	8 5	72	95	113	163	128	t		H	+	$\dagger$	16		L			$\dagger$	$\dagger$	$\dagger$		Н		$\dagger$	$\dagger$
Compared C					1	t	T					1	$\dagger$	t	t	r			1	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	t	$\dagger$			5	52		Н	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	-	$\mid$			1	+	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	32	Н	1	$\dagger$	$\dagger$
Compared C				l	T	T	T					1	†	T	T	T	П	1	1	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	t			+	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	4		$\dagger$	t				-	+	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	$\mid$	f			$\dagger$	t
Note	Ī	Lowland Forest	919	841	768	54	62	29	239	319	402	176	8	12	E	91	13	46	7	\$	$\dagger$	t			+	t	T		724	4/8	†			171	246	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	198	43		100	4	507	+
Lowland OG		Агеа (ha)	1,221	938	927	82	2	95	467	336	558	176	233	12	98	184	348	121	311	2 2	27 7	124	151	117	254	242	422	120	753	19/	864	112	187	329	328	89 6	3/8	480	118	450	116	49	929	465
Lowland OG		уем <u>х</u> опе	SPZ	SPZ	SPZ	SPZ	SPZ	SPZ	SPZ	SPZ	ZdS	ZdS	700	SPZ	SPZ	ZdS	SPZ	SPZ	710	700	7,0	ZdS	SPZ	Zds	Zds	PZ di	PZ	Zds	MZ	7WZ	MZ	MZ	MZ	PZ	MZ	72.0	7 2	MZ	MZ	ÞΖ	ÞΖ	PZ 03	1 AN	152
nagement Key Value  Lowland OG  Montane Dry		Current Zone	H	H	├	╀	╀	Н	Н	Н	┥	+	+	╀	⊢	Н	+	+	+	+	╀	╀	Н	+	+	╀	╀	╌	+	╫	╀	H	Н	┥	+	+	┿	╄	Н	Н	Н	┿	┿	╀
SSICCOSTC DOTTICE STATES AND STAT	L		o,	0	S	(3)	٥	9	9	O		910	٥١٥	0	9	Н	$\dashv$	9	9 0	ا د		Ō	Ō	Ö	<u>ق</u> ا ق	5 0	Ō	Ö	S S	ō v	S	S	S	δ 	S	5	5 0	5 0	S	ย	\S	ත්ල	5 6	0
SSICCOSTC DOTTICE STATES AND STAT		ď)															Rich OC			100						dland	dland																	
SSICCOSTC DOTTICE STATES AND STAT		(ey Valu														p 0G	p & Her	5	haland	Voodlan				Nood OG	ame	ssy Woo	ssy Woo	n Bark	Hond	Fst							Jan Diroft	wland	land					Omb
S S C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		<u>.</u>	and OG	and OG	and OG	and OG	and OG	and OG	and OG	and OG	and OG	200	900	and OG	and OG	and/Dam	and, Dar	\$ 900° 90°	Bich -	ane Dry	ane Dry	ane Dry	ane Dry	ane Dry V	ane Dry	tone Gra	tone Gra	ill Box Irc	J. O.	N Nat	Dry	Jwl	S. Owl	nd OG	om', cano	500	O M	urbed Lo	EG Low	erb Rich	nd OG	200	واو	hlefands
Forest Manageme Block Block Block All Stony Peak Stony Peak Stony Peak All Colenson All Stony Peak All Colenson All All All All All All All All All Al		±	Low	Low	Low	Low	Lowf	Lowl	Low	Lowi	Low	Lower L	Dam	Lowi	Low	Lowla	Low	2	T a	Mont	Mont	Monta	Monta	Mont	Mont	Lime	Limes	Footh	P/ful (	P/ful C	Grass	P/ful (	P/ful	Lowla	DIA.	Wet/	D/ful C	Undis	Inland	90 H	Lowla	Net O	Weto	OG Ta
Forest M.		anageme ock					ηĝ					- I				je l		١	5													ngh	ugh		1	<u>*</u>								har
Ref		orest Ma	enoa	ony Peak	ony Peak	ony Peak	allagarau	aramingo	nes	nes	erard	VMORA	my	dahoun	rlip	ırrungow:	te Bolong	Tine Can	ramingo	nang	tonwood	ttonwood	nang	ndoc	tham	a	a.	اء	nboon	nvson	dah	ensboro	eensboro	st Wingai	mmer ting Cross	TAN CIEC	}	اء	py	ij	ny Peak	ny reak Jah	t Wingan	Queensborough
4. Get east of appying		Polygon No. (see Map 1)	ğ -	2 St	3 St			12 ₩		16 Jo	24 EV			34 Co	35 Cu	39 Mt	43 Be	2 2	56 Ma				67 Bor	68 Be		73 Tar	74 Tar	88 Ric	e L	101 Ten	103 Bul		107 Que	117 Eak	15 A	129 Yalr	130 Yalr	135 Rich	136 Tab		157 Stor	170 Bulc	80 Eas	183 Que

SPZ = Special Protection Zone, SMZ = Special Management Zone, GMZ = General Management Zone

Table 2. Representative conservation of current and pre-1750 Ecological Vegetation

Classes (EVCs) on public la		Pre 1750 EVC		(		
	Area (ha)	Conservation Reserves (%)	SPZ (%)	Area (ha)	Conservation Reserves (%)	SPZ (%)
1 Coastal Dune Scrub	3517	98		3442	100	
2 Coast Banksia Woodland	3462	97		3359	100	
3 Coastal Grassy Forest	776			289	. 100	
4 Coastal Vine-rich Forest	90		7	87	93	7
5 Coastal Sand Heathland	681	100		681	100	
6 Sand Heathland	98		4	4		88
7 Clay Heathland	2954		18	1963	60	27
	10029		42	9532		44
8 Wet Heath	667	=	1	822		
9 Coastal Saltmarsh*	1471		8	231	46	
10 Estuarine Wetland	862		3	462		
11 Coastal Lagoon Wetland			٦	789		- 1
12 Wet Swale Herbland	789			195		
13 Brackish Sedgeland	195		15	37585		
14 Banksia Woodland	40878			4679		
15 Limestone Box Forest	8440		11			
16 Lowland Forest	276468		13	246332		
17 Riparian Scrub Complex	21577		46	17137		
18 Riparian Forest	29677		24	13668		
19 Riparian Shrubland	659		39	648		
20 Heathy Dry Forest	2137		22	1707		
21 Shrubby Dry Forest	227069		10	210614		
22 Grassy Dry Forest	31482	25	9	18410		
24 Foothill Box Ironbark Forest	603	88	1	603		
25 Limestone Grassy Woodland	5259	8	4	638		
26 Rainshadow Woodland	32727	7 65	0.1	21561		
27 Rocky Outcrop Scrub	5251	54	28	5178	55	
28 Rocky Outcrop Shrubland	1612	94	5	1608	95	
29 Damp Forest	245375	5 28	16	237533	3 29	17
30 Wet Forest	91193	32	14	90731	32	14
31 Cool Temperate Rainforest	2564	46	54	2563	3 46	
32 Warm Temperate Rainforest	7030	33	64	6807	' 34	66
33 Cool/Warm Rainforest	255		53	255	5 47	7 53
34 Dry Rainforest	12			11	100	)
35 Tablelands Damp Forest	5179		21	5059	35	5 21
36 Montane Dry Woodland	65694	-	8	48451	64	11
37 Montane Grassy Woodland	19338			6368	3 53	3 10
38 Montane Damp Forest	1447		5	14475	72	2 5
39 Montane Wet Forest	13646			13646		5 2
40 Montane Riparian Woodland	305	•		701	-	
	303.	•		37	-	
41 Montane Riparian Thicket	20			20		
42 Sub-alpine Shrubland	7870	<u>-</u> "		7607		-
43 Sub-alpine Woodland		•		1		-
44 Treeless Sub-alpine Complex		-		ı	-	
23 Herb-rich Forest	2188	•		1929		
Dunes	195					<i>-</i>
Grand Total	121044	5		1050368	<u> </u>	

### Notes:

The Pre 1750 area shown includes both Private and Public Land.

Information on current EVC protection applies only to Public Land.

Conservation Reserves includes all Parks and Other Nature Conservation Reserves.

SPZ = all parts of the Special Protection Zone including Code of Forest Practices buffers.

Water bodies are not included in this table

Consequently it is shown as

having greater than 100 percent of

its former extent.

<sup>\*</sup> Coastal Saltmarsh is thought to have increased in area since 1750, due to the incursion of salt water in the Snowy River estuary.

Table 4. Representation of extensive national estate values in conservation reserves

and management zones.

National Estate Value	Area '000 ha	Conservation Reserves %	SPZ %	SMZ %	GMZ (timber) %	GMZ (other uses) %
Wilderness	177	92	3	<1	4	1
Endemic Flora	192	54	17	4	20	4
Biogeographic Range of Flora	117	90	3	<1	1	0
Refuge Dependant EVC's	157	76	8	1	8	<1
Places important for Succession	186	64	11	1	14	10
Old-growth Forest	177	65	12	2	9	12
Fauna Refugia	83	43	35	. 1	15	2
Natural Landscapes	361	71	9	1	11	8
Ecological Vegetation Classes	545	62	. 12	2	13	9

Note: The balance to make up 100% for each value is located on private land